

ADDENDUM No. 02

RFP No: SEN-036 23-24

Title: AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following shall be read in conjunction with and shall form an integral part of the Bid and resulting Contract documents. All other terms and conditions remain the same.

Correction : changes are highlighted in yellow

Page 16, Part 4 – Evaluation Procedures and Basis of Selection

4. Rated Evaluation Criteria (Phase 2)

- I. Bids that do not clearly meet all the mandatory criteria set forth in this RFP and do not attain a **minimum of 56 points** for the requirements that are subject to the evaluation criteria points rating system will receive no further consideration.

The rated evaluation criteria are as follows:

TECHNICAL MERIT Point Rated Technical Criterion	Maximum No. of Points Available	Cross Reference Section
<p>R1. Experience of the team</p> <p>The Bidder must demonstrate experience within the last twenty-five (25) years which is above and beyond the minimum ten (10) years identified in M1 - Years of Experience.</p> <p>The Bidder shall include detailed information in their proposal on how they meet the experience required.</p>	<p>Maximum 25 points</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 points: 10+ to 14 years • 15 points: 14+ to 18 years • 20 points: 18+ to 22 years • 25 points: 22+ years 	

ADDENDUM No. 02

RFP No: SEN-036 23-24

Title: AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Page 19

Total of all the point-rated technical criteria	80 points Maximum	
Minimum pass mark	56 points	

Questions and Answers

Q1: *Experience of the Team* states “Maximum 50 points”. However, the bullet list states 25 points: 22+ years as the most points to be attained.

Can you please provide examples or guidance on how 50 points might be achieved in R1?

A1: The maximum points that can be obtained is 25 points for 22 years of experience or more. The score will be adjusted accordingly.

Q2: In R2, is the Senate looking for additional references to the three listed in M2? Can they be the same references?

A2: Yes, it can be the same references

Q3: Would it be possible to know who had the previous contract and what was the total value per year.

A3: The previous contract was with KPMG for the period August 31, 2018 to August 31, 2022 in the amount of \$51,000.00.

Shirley Chartrand
Sr. Procurement Advisor
The Senate of Canada
Proc-app@sen.parl.gc.ca