W8474-248487 UX-UI AMENDMENT 001

Amendment No. 001 is being issued to address the following:

A) Publish Questions and Answers submitted during the publication; and B) Amend PART 4 – EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION, Section 4.4.1

A) Publish Questions and Answers submitted during the publication.

Question Number	Question	Answer
001	Would it be possible to consider allowing the inclusion of external consultants who specialize in developing UX evaluation criteria?	All supply arrangement holders must adhere to the terms of the SBIPS Supply Arrangement and solicitation requirements.
002	Alternatively, could the RFP be adjusted to emphasize the importance of proven UX research and design experience as the primary criterion, with the development of evaluation criteria as a secondary preference?	Canada is not considering adjusting Attachment 1 to Part 4, Evaluation Criteria at this time.

B) Amend PART 4 – EVALUATION PROCEDURES AND BASIS OF SELECTION, Section 4.4.1

Delete in its entirety;

Insert:

- 4.4.1 Minimum Point Rating
 - 1. To be declared responsive, a bid must:
 - a. comply with all the requirements of the bid solicitation; and
 - b. meet all mandatory technical evaluation criteria; and
 - c. obtain the required minimum of _35_ points overall for the technical evaluation criteria which are subject to point rating. The rating is performed on a scale of _60_ points

2. Bids not meeting (a) or (b) or (c) will be declared non-responsive. The responsive bid with the lowest evaluated price will be recommended for award of a contract.

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED